

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,720		06/19/2001	Pierfrancesco La Mura	COM-003CIA	3300
758	7590	02/24/2005		EXAM	INER
	CK & WES		FELTEN, DANIEL S		
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				3624	
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	\sim
•	M
_	٠,

ļ	V
	1

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/885,720	MURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel S Felten	3624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the st period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the appreply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. oplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-26 & 62-65				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte G	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 10-26 and 62-65 is/are pending in the applicati	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10-26 and 62-65</u> is/are rejected.				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. $f N$	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cer	tified copies not received.			
•					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theories Summer (BTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (P1O-092) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt of the November 29, 2004 Amendment/Response is acknowledged. Claims 10-26 and 62-65 are pending and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

Specification

2. Claims 19 and 21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The limitation of "competes" is not further limiting the parent claim of "cooperates"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular, claims 18, 20 and 22 uses the word "cooperates" with respect to a team, bidding group or a bidding community. In what way is the one group cooperating with the other? It is not clear what is the basis of the cooperation.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,720

Art Unit: 3624

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 10-15, 23 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown (US 5,794, 219).

Brown discloses a product for a team auction system comprising: instructions for directing a processing device (see figs. 7-10) – Claims 10

Partition participants into teams [110, 66], wherein a team comprises team members (38) (see col. 6, Il. 53 to col. 8, Il. 59)- Claims 10

Determine a team bid and aggregating bids of team members (see col. 6 ll. 65 to col. 7. ll. 5; and col. 8, ll. 4-18)-Claims 10, 11, 12, 14, 26

Determine a winning team based on said team bid allocate items to said team (see col. 8, ll. 36-46) -Claims 10, 13, 23

A media readable by said processing device that stores said instructions (see col. 6, Il. 41+)- Claim 10

A participant chooses a team at the beginning of the team auction (see col. 6, 1l. 25-40)-

Application/Control Number: 09/885,720

Art Unit: 3624

Partitioning at least one participant based on an interest of said at least one participant

Partitioning at least one participant based on a predetermined process for partitioning said at least one participant

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 16-22 and 62-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US 5,794, 219) in view of Walker et al (5,862,223). The teachings of Brown have been discussed above.

Brown fails to disclose proceeding to at least one additional round. Walker discloses an auctioning embodiment where the end users create request and submit them to a central controller. The request are submitted to a pool of bidders which bid over a number of rounds (see Walker col. 38, ll. 12+). It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention of Brown to recognize the advantages of the multi-round auction to provide the bidders with a more than only one shot to a particular acquire good and/or service. Thus an artisan at the

Application/Control Number: 09/885,720 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

time of the invention would have been motivated to provide a greater opportunity for competing bidders to acquire good or service as well as provide the seller with a better price for the good or service. Thus to employ the notoriously old and well known teaching of multiple auction rounds found in Walker to Brown would be considered an obvious expedient within the ability of someone of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,720

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

DSF

February 17, 2005

Vines Melle

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600